Effect of Adding Oral Calcium Dobesilate to Laser Photocoagulation on the Macular Thickness in Patients with Diabetic Macular Edema: A Randomized Clinical Trial
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Abstract

Purpose: To evaluate the effect of oral calcium dobesilate (Doxium) on macular thickness in clinically significant macular edema (CSME).

Methods: Overall, 71 eyes of 40 patients with non-proliferative diabetic retinopathy and clinically significant macular edema were included. All patients were received laser treatment for macular edema. Coherence optical tomography was used to determine the retinal thickness. Patients were randomized into two groups: group A received three Doxium capsule daily and group B received three placebo capsule daily for six months.

Results: The mean macular thickness before and after treatment in the group A was 340 and 257 micrometers respectively (21.5% reduced), and in the group B was 336 micrometers and 263 micrometers respectively (24.5% reduced). Macular thickness significantly decreased after treatment in both groups and the reduction in group A is higher but the difference of reduction between the two groups was not statistically significant (P>0.05).

Conclusion: In respect to the effect of adding oral Doxium to Laser Photocoagulation on the macular thickness in patients with diabetic macular edema, this study showed no statistically significant difference between Doxium and placebo.

Introduction

Diabetes Mellitus (DM) is a metabolic disorder of the body, in which either a sufficient amount of insulin in the body does not exist or the existing insulin may not perform its task properly.1 Diabetic retinopathy (DR), the most important ocular complication in patients with DM is the leading cause of blindness in patients aged 20-65 years in the United States and in individuals between the ages of 30 and 64 in the United Kingdom; in which, it accounts for nearly 12%–14% of new cases of blindness resulting from all causes.2,5 Retinal edema threatening or involving the macula is an important visual outcome of abnormal retinal vascular permeability in DR. The diabetic macular edema (DME) is diagnosed by slit-lamp biomicroscopy of the posterior pole. DME is caused by a breakdown in the blood-retinal barrier that lead to the accumulation of fluid in the space between the layers of retina in the macular area.3 Data from the Early Treatment Diabetic Retinopathy Study (ETDRS) showed that focal laser photocoagulation of clinically significant diabetic macular edema (CSME) substantially reduces the risk of visual loss. Focal treatment also increases the chance of visual improvement, decreases the frequency of persistent macular edema, and causes only minor visual field losses. Therefore the presence or the absence of the CSME is the most important and the only specific factor defining the need to the treatment.6 Laser treatment prevents vision loss, but does not have a significant effect on improving vision. This problem evokes the researchers for alternative therapies. The use of intravitreal injection of the steroid compound in some studies has reported good results.7,8 Also intravitreal injection of the Bevacizumab (Avastin) has showed good results.9 Calcium dobesilate (Doxium) is an antioxidant and angio-protective drug that decreases edema by regulating and improvement the physiological function. Recent studies have shown that Doxium is a potent antioxidant, particularly against the highly damaging hydroxyl radical. In addition, it improves diabetic endothelial dysfunction, reduces apoptosis, and slows vascular cell proliferation.10,11 Doxium recently remains the object of interest in treatment of diabetic retinopathy, but various results have been reported by different studies performed on reducing the macular edema by Doxium.12-14 Thus, this study was conducted to assess the effect of oral Doxium adding to macular laser
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photocoagulation on the macular thickness in patients with CSME.

**Materials and Methods**

**Study design and population**

A randomized, single blinded, placebo-controlled trial was conducted on patients with type II DM that had diabetic retinopathy and referred to the ophthalmology clinic, Imam Khomeini Hospital, Ahvaz, Iran from 2012-07-22 to 2013-01-19. The IRCT registration number of the study was: IRCT2012091610852N1.

**Inclusion criteria**

All patients with non-proliferative diabetic retinopathy and CSME which at least have 20/200 visual acuity were included.

**Exclusion criteria**

Patients with the history of previous treatment for a CSME such as macular laser and intravitreal injection of Avastin, posterior segment trauma, the need for the further eye surgery such as vitreoretinal surgery or cataract, cases of non-feasible clinical observation, optical coherence tomography (OCT) and fluorescein angiography (FA) such as severe opacities of the media, the existence of a previous vascular pathology of the retina or age-related macular degeneration, a history of previous intraocular surgery, visual acuity less than 20/200 and presence of macular ischemia in FA were excluded.

**Intervention**

The demographic profile of patients includes age, gender, occupation, history of DM and smoking, were collected. Then, visual acuity of the patients was measured using the Snellen chart. Patients were examined with a Slit-Lamp (Topcon; Tokyo Optical Co., Ltd., Tokyo, Japan) and fundoscopy was done with the Volk’s 78D and 90D lenses. Intraocular pressure (IOP, mmHg) was measured using a Goldmann applanation tonometer. FA (Topcon; Tokyo Optical Co., Ltd., Tokyo, Japan) and fundoscopy was done with the ETDRS chart and algorithm using standard protocol with green laser set up every laser point with 50 to 100 micrometers. The emission duration was 0.1 second and the power was set right with the proper laser lighting effect, and the area of the macula has exposed, so that at least 500 micrometers from the edge of the optic disk and 500 micrometers away from the center of fovea. The laser energy was started at 50 mV and gradually increased until a modest and proper white spot revealed in the macula.

**Outcome**

Primary outcome; macular thickness

Secondary outcomes: visual acuity and intraocular pressure

**Statistical analysis**

After gathering information, data were analyzed by SPSS 19.0. Descriptive statistics (mean and standard deviation) were used to describe the desired variables. Then to determine the relationship between the variable T-test and paired T-test and ANOVA were used. Significant level was considered equal or lesser than 0.05.

**Results**

Overall, 40 patients (mean age of 53.55 ± 8.8, range of 36-72 years) were studied, which 22 patients (55%) were male and 18 patients (45%) were female. There was no significant difference between groups A and B in term of age (54 ± 9.5 vs. 53.1 ± 9.8, respectively). In this study, total of 71 eyes were studied (36 eyes in group A and 35 eyes in group B), that 33 (46.5%) and 38(53.5%) were the right and left eyes respectively. In both groups after treatment the macular thickness reduced so that in group A the reduction was 80 micrometers (24.5%) and in the group B it was 75 micrometers (21.5%). The difference of changes in the macular thickness between the two groups was not statistically significant (Table 1).

Six months after treatment, nine-eye (25%) in groups A and ten-eye (28%) in groups B need laser retreatment. The difference between the two groups was not statistically significant. The difference of visual acuity increase and the change of the mean IOP before and after treatment had no statistically significant difference between the two groups (Table 1).

**Table 1.** Comparing the variables of interest before and after treatment with oral Doxium and macular laser

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Before treatment (Mean ± SD)</th>
<th>After treatment (Mean ± SD)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Group A</td>
<td>Group B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Macular thickness, μm</td>
<td>340.8 ± 85.46</td>
<td>336.05 ± 81.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visual acuity, Log Mar</td>
<td>0.595 ± 0.192</td>
<td>0.567 ± 0.199</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IOP, mmHg</td>
<td>13.52 ± 1.44</td>
<td>13.91 ± 1.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Group A</td>
<td>Group B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Macular thickness, μm</td>
<td>257.44 ± 55.04</td>
<td>263.34 ± 66.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visual acuity, Log Mar</td>
<td>0.509 ± 0.166</td>
<td>0.495 ± 0.213</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IOP, mmHg</td>
<td>13.00 ± 1.37</td>
<td>13.25 ± 1.55</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Discussion

Diabetic maculopathy is one of the most important causes of vision loss among people around the world today. Though laser photocoagulation remains as the gold standard therapy for diabetic macular edema, only a slight visual improvement has been reported following laser therapy. Therefore, different methods of treatment besides laser therapy are used to treat DME more effectively. In this study we assessed the effect of adding oral Doxium to macular photocoagulation on the macular thickness in patients with DME. In many studies the effect of Doxium on the DR has been reviewed, but the effect of this drug on the macular thickness was less evaluated. In the present study reducing macular edema evaluated with macular thickness measurement using the OCT as a more accurate method. In most studies the effect of Doxium on DR investigated and none of the studies has considered the macular thickness using OCT.

Rota et al. studied the effect of Doxium on the retinal albumin leakage in streptozotocin-diabetic rats for 10 days, and suggested that this drug stabilizes blood-retinal barrier in diabetic retinopathy. Haritoglou et al. investigated the effect of Doxium in the diabetic patients with mild-to-moderate non-proliferative diabetic retinopathy, and reported that this drug did not reduce the risk of development of CSME. Our study was in line with Haritoglou et al. study and revealed that Doxium didn’t reduce the diabetic macular edema, but the difference was the same as our study. We measured the macular thickness using OCT before and after treatment but Haritoglou et al. just studied the effect of Doxium in terms of the risk of progression of clinical significant macular edema.

Fesharaki and Modaresi, measured the effect of Doxium on the visual acuity and surface area of retinal hemorrhage in patients with non-proliferative diabetic retinopathy, and claimed that this drug (250 mg two tablets per day for six months) may has a positive effect on visual activity. In contrast with Fesharaki and Modaresi, in our study, Doxium has no effect on the visual improvement of the patients.

Ribeiro et al. studied the effect of Doxium on the blood-retinal barrier (BRB) permeability in early diabetic retinopathy, and showed that the dosage of two grams of this drug daily for two-year has a significantly better activity on prevention of BRB disruption, with a very good tolerance.

Vojnikovic studied the effect of oral Doxium on the 79 non-insulin-dependent diabetic subjects with early retinopathy and open-angle glaucoma, and suggested that this drug reduces blood hyperviscosity and lowers IOP, with a beneficial effect on retinal state and visual fields.

Our study revealed the oral Doxium has no effect on IOP; of course we excluded the patients with a history of glaucoma and high IOP, and only the effect of this drug on the IOP of diabetic patients without a history of glaucoma were evaluated.

Stamper et al. evaluates the efficacy of Doxium for treating non-proliferative diabetic retinopathy by clinical examination, FA and fundus photography and failed to demonstrate any beneficial effect of Doxium.

Conclusion

Doxium has no statistically significant effect on macular thickness, visual acuity and intraocular pressure in patients with DME treating by macular laser photocoagulation. One of the advantages of the present study was the quantitative observation of the macular thickness using OCT as a more accurate method. But the major weaknesses in our study were the short follow-up period, the high cost of supplying the drug, and no observation of the effect of the drug on the systemic blood sugar levels. Further studies in the longer follow-up period and larger sample size are recommended.
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