Assessment of Sound Levels in a Neonatal Intensive Care Unit in Tabriz, Iran
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ABSTRACT

Introduction: High levels of sound have several negative effects, such as noise-induced hearing loss and delayed growth and development, on premature infants in neonatal intensive care units (NICUs). In order to reduce sound levels, they should first be measured. This study was performed to assess sound levels and determine sources of noise in the NICU of Alzahra Teaching Hospital (Tabriz, Iran). Methods: In a descriptive study, 24 hours in 4 workdays were randomly selected. Equivalent continuous sound level (Leq), sound level that is exceeded only 10% of the time (L10), maximum sound level (Lmax), and peak instantaneous sound pressure level (Lzpeak) were measured by CEL-440 sound level meter (SLM) at 6 fixed locations in the NICU. Data was collected using a questionnaire. SPSS 13 was then used for data analysis. Results: Mean values of Leq, L10, and Lmax were determined as 63.46 dBA, 65.81 dBA, and 71.30 dBA, respectively. They were all higher than standard levels (Leq < 45 dB, L10 ≤ 50 dB, and Lmax ≤ 65 dB). The highest Leq was measured at the time of nurse rounds. Leq was directly correlated with the number of staff members present in the ward. Finally, sources of noise were ordered based on their intensity. Conclusion: Considering that sound levels were higher than standard levels in our studied NICU, it is necessary to adopt policies to reduce sound.

Introduction

Recent advances in treatment of respiratory distress syndrome has increased the survival rate of premature infants.¹ However, some premature infants are faced with neurobehavioral problems during their school years.² Premature infants spend most of their first months of life in neonatal intensive care units (NICUs) and are exposed to constant, sudden, and loud noise of 57-97 dBA and maximum intensity of 120 dBA which is equivalent to the noise of a tractor.³ Therefore, improvement of quality of life of premature infants with the focus on NICU environment has become one of the research goals.⁴⁵

Gestational age of less than 37 weeks, difficult transition from intrauterine to extra uterine life, physiological limitations, and underdeveloped central nervous system make premature infants vulnerable to negative effects of high level of sound.⁶ Premature infants exposed to high level of sound suffer from fluctuations in heart rate and respiratory rate, decreased arterial oxygen saturation, changes in blood pressure, and impaired weight gain, sleep, and learning.⁴⁷⁻⁹ Moreover, high level of sound has negative effects on the primary development of sensory neurons which can disrupt the natural development of the sensory nervous system and cause...
behavioral, speech, and hearing problems. Sound levels are reported as A-weighted decibels (dBA). A-weighted sound level measurements are numerically adjusted to reflect the frequency-dependent nature of human hearing at low sound levels. AAP (2007) recommended the standard values of equivalent continuous sound level (Leq), the sound level that is exceeded for only 10% of any specific hour (L10), and maximum sound level during data collection (Lmax) as 45, 50, 65 dBA, respectively. According to AAP, lack of compliance to these values would result in the risk of negative effects on the health of premature infants. The first step in establishing standard sound levels is measurement. Owing to suitable modern technology and equipment, it is currently possible to measure sound levels. In some NICUs in the United States of America, sound levels are measured annually. In Iran however, little attention is paid to the measurement of sound levels in NICUs. In fact, no study has been conducted on measuring sound levels in NICUs. Comparing the measured sound levels in NICUs with standard levels would facilitate the identification of sources of noise and their effects on sound levels. New policies can accordingly be designed to eliminate or mitigate sources of noise and hence reduce sound levels. Reducing sound level in NICUs will in turn shorten the NICU stay of premature infants and support their growth and development. The aim of the current study was to assess sound levels and to determine sources of noise and their effects on sound levels in the NICU of Alzahra Hospital as the largest NICU in northwestern Iran.

Materials and methods
After being approved by the Ethics Committee of Tabriz University of Medical Sciences, this descriptive study was conducted to assess sound levels in an NICU with an area of 187 m². Approximately 8850 infants are delivered in this hospital annually and about 2100 are admitted to the NICU. This area was divided into 3 open care sections (1-3) with 2 partial walls. The nomination of third, second, and first levels of NICU was defined according to the condition of the infants cared. The dimensions of the first, second, and third sections were 11 × 5.5 × 3.5 m³ (area: 60.5 m²), 10 × 5.5 × 3.5 m³ (area: 55 m²), and 11 × 5.5 × 3.5 m³ (area: 60.5 m²), respectively. There were two metal sinks for washing hands and equipment at entrance of sections 1 and 3. Nursing station and entrance were along the second level of NICU. Each section had its own cooling system and one ventilation system was in section 2. Moreover, no acoustic material was used in the construction of the ward and the mean Leq outside the ward was 60 dBA. At the time of sound levels measurement, no building repairs or installation of new equipment were in progress and no sound level reduction protocols were implemented. A CEL-440 sound level meter (SLM) and a CEL-382 calibration device (England) capable of detecting sound levels of 10-120 dBA were used. The adjustment of the device to dBA made it sensitive to audible sounds.

Data was collected using a self-made questionnaire consisting of 3 parts of demographic characteristics, sources of noise, and mean sound levels. The validity of the questionnaire was confirmed through content validity and feedback from 3 audiologists, 2 occupational health engineers, 2 infant specialists, and 5 professors of nursing and midwifery.

Since many studies have shown similar sound levels during night and day in NICU,
we determined sound levels at 24 randomly
selected hours (from 7 am to 10 pm) on 4
workdays.

It is important to avoid noise resonance,
and measure mean sound levels. Therefore,
two locations in the center of all open care
sections (a total number of 6 points) were
selected (Figure 1). The SLM microphone was
placed upright at the height of 1.20 m.

During every hour of measurement, the
demographic characteristics of the ward and
the calibration of the SLM device were
assessed by the main researcher. The
microphone was then covered with a wide
screen to prevent the effects of air flow on the
measurements. At every location, Leq, L10,
Lmax, and peak value of instantaneous
sound level (Lzpeak) were measured every 2
minutes and the data was recorded in the
data collection. During data collection
periods, any sources of noise were recorded.
Data analysis was performed using one way
analysis of variance (ANOVA) in SPSS
(SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA).

**Results**

The mean numbers of hospitalized infants at
the time of measurements in sections 1, 2, and
3 were 10 and 12, respectively. The mean
number of people present at the NICU was 13.
The mean numbers of medical ventilators
used in these sections were 8, 4, and 0,
respectively. For all infants in sections 1 and 2,
monitors were used. Pulse oximetry was used
for infants in section 3. An intravenous fluid
infusion pump was employed as required.

Sound levels ranged between 56.10 and
104.80 dBA. The measured values were
higher than standard levels of NICU
according to the recommendation of AAP
(Table 1). One way ANOVA showed no
significant differences between sound levels
of the 6 locations [f (5, 15) = 1.71; p = 0.135].

Sources of noise in the NICU in order of
intensity are shown in figure 2. Washing
metal dishes in the metal sink caused the
greatest Leq in the ward. Leq varied during
different hours of the day. The highest Leq

![Figure 1. Layout of the neonatal intensive care unit showing the 6 locations in which sound levels were measured (With permission)](image-url)
Table 1. Measured and standard sound levels in neonatal intensive care units

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sound pressure level (dBA)</th>
<th>Standard level</th>
<th>Measured level</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
<th>Range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Leq</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>63.46 (2.97)</td>
<td>62.97-63.95</td>
<td>56.10-70.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L10</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>65.81 (3.02)</td>
<td>70.50-71.98</td>
<td>57.50-75.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lmax</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>71.24 (4.48)</td>
<td>65.32-66.31</td>
<td>60.50-89.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lzpeak</td>
<td>Undefined</td>
<td>91.68 (4.20)</td>
<td>90.99-92.38</td>
<td>78.30-104.80</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*: Measured values are expressed as mean (SD).

Leq: Equivalent continuous sound level; L10: Sound level that is exceeded for only 10% of any specific hour; Lmax: Maximum sound level during data collection; Lzpeak: Peak value of instantaneous sound pressure level

Figure 2. Comparison of mean values of equivalent continuous sound level (Leq) in dBA caused by various sources of sound with average sound level in the neonatal intensive care unit (NICU)

Figure 3. Equivalent continuous sound level (Leq) in dBA during measurement times was recorded at nursing rounds (7-8, 13-14, and 19-20). The lowest Leq was detected at 10 pm (Figure 3). Sound levels had direct relationship with the number of people present at the ward (p = 0.007) (Figure 4). The greatest mean value of Lzpeak was caused by dropping metal devices on the ground (Figure 5).
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Discussion
The measured values were higher than standard levels of NICU. Previous similar studies also reported the same results. Despite differences in critical conditions and use of different number of devices, sound levels of the 6 selected locations had no statistically significant differences. However, according to previous research, there is a direct relationship between how critical the condition of an infant in the NICU is and noise levels due to the use of more equipment and presence of greater number of
individuals. Openings of the 3 sections in the NICU were actually sources of noise for the other 2 sections. Therefore, separation of 3 levels of NICU could be an effective strategy for reducing sound levels. Chen et al. were able to decrease Leq to 4.5 dBA by closing an open section of an NICU. Because dBA is a logarithmic unit, a 3 dBA difference cuts the intensity of the sound levels by half. Therefore, a 4 dBA difference is very noticeable. Ramesh et al. could decrease the sound levels of the noisiest room by 9.58 dBA through implementation of sound level reduction protocols such as separation of rooms with glass and aluminum partitions. Sound reduction essentially occurs according to the cascading quality of sound, i.e., in a noisy environment, people tend to speak louder and in a quite environment they tend to speak more quietly. Nursing rounds were the noisiest times due to the greatest number of people present in the ward, the highest level of inattention to sources of noise such as alarm of devices and crying of infants, and performing noisy activities. Many previous studies have also reported nurse rounds as one of the noisiest times in the ward. Krueger et al. considered nurses to have an important effect on noise levels in NICUs. The major source of noise in the hospital is the talking of the personnel. Researchers believe that the noise of conversations (mean level: 59-90 dBA) and alarm of devices (mean level: 55-85 dBA) are the two main sources of noise in NICUs that can be controlled by nurses. Moreover, the inattention of nurses to alarms of devices and the simultaneous noise of more than one device can increase the mean sound level of the environment up to 7.5 dBA. Therefore, the quick response of nurses to alarm of devices will decrease the sound levels of the ward. Many studies have performed training programs to increase the knowledge of staff in order to reduce sound levels. Teaching the harmful effects of high sound levels and implementation of a behavior modification program have been reported to decrease sound levels by 5 dBA. Elander and Hellström informed nurses about sources of noise and thus decreased sound levels of an open care section by 10 dBA. While the staff talked for 62% of each shift before education, the rate decreased to 14% after the education. Many studies have been successful in decreasing sound pressure levels of wards by improving nurse activities such as limiting bedside teaching rounds on infants, reduction of the sound of monitors and alarms, and removing phones from care spaces.

The presence of students significantly increased sound levels. Therefore, educating both medical and nursing students before entering an NICU seems necessary. Moreover, there was a significant correlation between the number of people present in the ward and sound level. Since the presence of people is associated with noise, implementing regulations to limit the number of people attending an NICU at one time is essential. During each of our measurements, there was a possibility of falling of metal files on the ground up to 3 times. Falling of metal objects on the ground causes a mean noise level of 90-110 dBA and results in the highest level of physiological instability in infants. Therefore, it is recommended to replace metal files with plastic ones. There were also two big metal surgical sinks in the ward. Turning the tap of a metal sink on or off generates 66-77 dBA of noise. In the current study, metal objects were also washed in the metal sinks which significantly increased Lzpeak. Therefore, replacing large steel scrub sinks with smaller ones will help reduce noise. All sources of noise levels higher than 55 dBA in the NICU need to be eliminated or mitigated. Since the wheels of portable equipment were also identified as sources of noise, they should be replaced with low-noise wheels. In addition, alarms of equipments were important sources of noise in the NICU.
Therefore, eliminating or adjusting alarms will reduce sound levels.\textsuperscript{34, 35}

A limitation of this study was the awareness of nurses of the presence of researchers which may have affected their behavior and hence the results of this study. Therefore, future studies are recommended to hide SLM microphones from the personnel and measure noise at times they are not informed about. Moreover, this study measured sound pressure levels of the ward for an average of 6 hours a day. However, further research with increased time of measurement would be beneficial in enhancing the accuracy of the results.

Conclusion

The measured values were higher than standard levels of NICU. Every NICU requires a sound level assessment system in order to achieve environmental noise limiting guidelines and to get closer to the standard sound levels. By evaluating sound levels, the sources of noise can be identified and their effects on sound levels can be studied. Moreover, the staff, especially nurses, can decrease sound levels by implementing new policies. Infants will thus be faced less sound stimuli and a safe care environment would be created. The results of this study can also be used to create a protocol to reduce sound level.
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