An Overview on the Proposed Mechanisms of Antithyroid Drugs-Induced Liver Injury
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Abstract

Drug-induced liver injury (DILI) is a major problem for pharmaceutical industry and drug development. Mechanisms of DILI are many and varied. Elucidating the mechanisms of DILI will allow clinicians to prevent liver failure, need for liver transplantation, and death induced by drugs. Methimazole and propylthiouracil (PTU) are two convenient antithyroid agents which their administration is accompanied by hepatotoxicity as a deleterious side effect. Although several cases of antithyroid drugs-induced liver injury are reported, there is no clear idea about the mechanism(s) of hepatotoxicity induced by these medications. Different mechanisms such as reactive metabolites formation, oxidative stress induction, intracellular targets dysfunction, and immune-mediated toxicity are postulated to be involved in antithyroid agents-induced hepatic damage. Due to the idiosyncratic nature of antithyroid drugs-induced hepatotoxicity, it is impossible to draw a specific conclusion about the mechanisms of liver injury. However, it seems that reactive metabolite formation and immune-mediated toxicity have a great role in antithyroid drugs liver toxicity, especially those caused by methimazole. This review attempted to discuss different mechanisms proposed to be involved in the hepatic injury induced by antithyroid drugs.
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Introduction

Drugs-induced liver injury (DILI) is an important side effect for many pharmaceuticals. Some drugs are known to cause hepatic injury, where in most cases the mechanism of hepatotoxicity is not fully understood. The pathogenesis of DILI is usually involves the participation of the parent drug and/or its metabolite(s). Mechanisms of DILI are many and varied. Elucidating the mechanisms of DILI, will help scientists to design safer pharmaceuticals and suggest new ways to treat and/or prevent liver injury induced by different medications.

Antithyroid drugs are chemically thionamide compounds (Figure 1), which are used in the management of hyperthyroidism in humans more than 60 years. Administration of these drugs is associated with different adverse effects including deleterious ones such as agranulocytosis and hepatotoxicity. Other well known complications of antithyroid drugs include skin rash, teratogenicity, abnormalities of smell and taste, and lupus erythematous.

Methimazole, 2-Mercapto-1-methylimidazole (Figure 1), is an anti-thyroid drug from thiono-sulfur chemical class that developed in 1950. Administration of this drug is associated with hepatotoxicity. Several cases of drug-induced hepatic damage have been reported after methimazole administration. However, the mechanism(s) of methimazole-induced hepatotoxicity is not fully understood so far.

The antithyroid drug, propylthiouracil (PTU) (Figure 1), was introduced for clinical use 60 years ago and is estimated to be used in many children and adolescents. Hepatotoxicity is a dangerous side effect associated with PTU administration. There are some reports of PTU-induced liver failure and death. This drug seems to have a more severe hepatotoxic profile in pediatrics. To date there has not been any mechanistic evaluation of the hepatotoxicity induced by PTU. Some investigations suggested to withdraw PTU from the market because of its dangerous and fatal hepatotoxic
Hence, understanding the mechanism(s) of hepatotoxicity induced by PTU will provide new ways to prevent/treat liver injury induced by this drug. This study attempts to review different mechanisms proposed to be involved in the hepatic injury induced by antithyroid medications. Some postulated mechanisms of antithyroids hepatotoxicity including reactive metabolites formation, oxidative stress induction, intracellular targets dysfunction, and immune-mediated toxicity are reviewed in current investigation.

**Antithyroid drugs’ reactive metabolites**

Many investigations have been performed on the significance of reactive metabolites in the pathogenesis of drug-induced hepatotoxicity.\(^1,\)\(^2\)\(^7\) Drugs’ reactive metabolites might be detoxified by cellular defense mechanisms, and/or invade different intracellular targets, which finally encounter cytotoxicity and cell death. The primary metabolic pathway for the majority of xenobiotics entails the cytochrome P450 (CYP450) system.\(^28\) Other hepatic enzyme systems such as flavine-dependent monoxygenase (FMO) and/or phase II xenobiotics metabolizing enzymes, might also be involved in drug bioactivation and hepatotoxicity.\(^29,\)\(^30\)

Reactive metabolites have the capability to induce cellular injury via several mechanisms such as covalent binding to cellular macromolecules.\(^31\) The covalent adduction of reactive metabolites to critical cellular targets might have many consequences such as disruption in cellular calcium (Ca\(^{2+}\)), which is a critical ion to preserve cell homeostasis.\(^32\) Recently, efforts to further understanding of the involvement of metabolic activation of a drug and following covalent binding to cellular macromolecules in adverse drug reactions are growing.\(^33\) The evidences of metabolic bioactivation of methimazole has been proven in different experiments.\(^34,\)\(^35\)

Furthermore, it has been shown that enzyme-induction enhanced methimazole-induced hepatotoxicity,\(^36\) which is an indicator implying the critical role of reactive metabolites in the liver injury. Methimazole reactive metabolites are proposed to be involved in different side effects associated with this drug, including olfactory mucosal damage\(^37,\)\(^38\) or agranulocytosis.\(^39\) N-methylthiourea and glyoxal are two suspected methimazole reactive intermediates, which their probable role in liver injury is reviewed in current study (Figure 2).\(^35,\)\(^40,\)\(^41\)

---

**Figure 2.** Proposed methimazole metabolites, and their role in hepatic injury. Reactive intermediates formed during methimazole metabolism may bound to macromolecule targets (e.g. proteins), and cause toxicity or might be detoxified by nucleophilic molecules such as glutathione (GSH). **FMO**: Flavine-containing monoxygenase, **CYP**: cytochrome P450, **GSH**: reduced glutathione. Adapted from references.\(^35,\)\(^40\)
Cytochrome P450 enzyme (CYP450) and flavoprotein-mixed-function oxidase (FMO) are found to be responsible for methimazole metabolism.37,38,42-45 The proposed bioactivation pathways of methimazole in liver have been explained in previous studies. In one of these investigations, this pathway consisted of CYP-mediated epoxidation of the double bond in methimazole to give compound (1) (Figure 2), subsequent ring opening to the dihydrodiol (2) with release of glyoxal (3) and N-methyl thiourea (4) (Figure 2).35,46 Following further flavin monooxygenase-mediated bioactivation (Figure 2), the suggested proximate toxicant, N-methylthiourea (4)35 is converted to the putative ultimate toxicants, sulfenic acid (5) and sulfinic acid (6) (Figure 2). Sulfenic acids are reactive nucleophilic agents, capable of interacting with different intracellular targets.46 Hence, these reactive metabolites might play a role in methimazole-induced injury toward hepatocytes. Another presented metabolic pathway for methimazole is the direct S-oxidation of this drug by FMO enzyme (Figure 2).47 S-Oxidation products of methimazole, includes some other sulfenic (7) and sulfinic acid species (8) (Figure 2), which might have a role in the adverse effects induced by this drug.44 Several events such as the loss of rat liver microsomal P450 during methimazole metabolism,45 and the olfactory toxicity induced by this drug37 are attributed to these reactive intermediates.

As mentioned, some studies showed the metabolic activation by direct oxidation of the thiol group of methimazole,47 which might be responsible for the toxicity induced by this drug. It has been shown that the major metabolic pathways of a variety of cyclic thiocarbamides other than methimazole; including 2-mercapto-4,5-dihydroimidazole and 2-mercaptopbenzimidazole compounds,48 are also known to involve oxidation at their thiol groups, giving the corresponding sulfenates.48 Nevertheless, 2-mercapto-4,5-dihydroimidazole and 2-mercaptopbenzimidazole were totally ineffective in inducing hepatotoxicity.46 This strongly suggests that the direct S-oxidation pathway may not be responsible for the toxicity of methimazole.48 Some experiments have been shown that, the generation of reactive intermediates is involved in covalent binding to olfactory mucosa, as assessed by autoradiography, following administration of 3H-labelled methimazole.38 Some studies showed the lack of olfactory toxicity of the sulphur-lacking methimazole analogues.37,49 These valuable studies may shed light on the mechanisms of methimazole-induced toxicity toward hepatocytes and other organs rather than liver.

In another study, it has been observed that methimazole will oxidized to N-methylhydantoin and also N-methylthiourea (Figure 3).47 Again, the methyl thiourea is formed through this metabolic pathway, and might be responsible for methimazole toxicity in liver (Figure 3). Nevertheless, the other metabolite, N-methylhydantoin has not been evaluated for its toxicity toward hepatocytes yet (Figure 3).

The other methimazole reactive metabolite, glyoxal (3) (Figure 2), is a well-known cytotoxic agent with capability of inducing oxidative stress and cellular dysfunction.50,51 It has been found that in addition to N-methylthiourea, as the proposed toxic metabolite of methimazole,53 glyoxal might also has a great role in methimazole-induced cytotoxicity (Figure 2).52 Glyoxal detoxification process is involved the effect of
glyoxalase enzyme, which is a glutathione (GSH)-required process (Figure 2).\textsuperscript{33} GSH-depleted cells and/or liver are reported to be very susceptible to methimazole adverse effects.\textsuperscript{34,52} The higher susceptibility of GSH-depleted cells to methimazole might be expectable by considering the role of GSH in detoxification of methimazole reactive metabolites such as glyoxal (Figure 2).\textsuperscript{33,54} However, the role of GSH in conjugating/deactivating other methimazole intermediates cannot be ruled out (Figure 2). The exact reactive metabolite(s) and/or its proportion in liver injury induced by methimazole needs further investigations to be completely revealed, but it seems that bioactivation of this drug in liver is a proposed mechanism by which methimazole caused liver damage in contribution with other potential factors.

Although deleterious and even fatal cases of liver injury have been reported after PTU administration,\textsuperscript{5,56} there is no mechanistic investigation on the hepatotoxicity induced by this drug. Liver failure induced by PTU, appears to be different in several aspects in children and adults.\textsuperscript{52} For the past decade, health care professionals have worried that children treated with PTU might be at a higher risk of liver injury.\textsuperscript{57,58}

To date, there is no report on the PTU reactive metabolite(s) formation in liver, and the role of such intermediates in the hepatotoxicity induced by this drug is ambiguous. Some investigations proposed that reactive metabolites are produced during myeloperoxidase (MPO) action on PTU in neutrophils, which might be related to agranulocytosis as a side effect of this drug.\textsuperscript{39,60} However, the production of such metabolite in liver has not been proven yet (Figure 4). Some studies suggested the role of glucoronidation as a metabolic pathway for PTU detoxification (Figure 4).\textsuperscript{61} Since a significant difference between uridine diphosphoglucoronosyl transferases (UGTs) activity in adults and children has been proved,\textsuperscript{52,65} the different profile of PTU-induced hepatotoxicity might be attributed to the UGTs activity in pediatrics (Figure 4).

Another finding which might be attributed to PTU-induced liver injury is the effects of this drug and/or its metabolites on intracellular targets such as vital enzymes. Kimio et al. have reported that PTU and its sulfaed metabolites (Figure 4), inhibited glutathione transferase (GSTs) and glutathione peroxidase (GPx), concentration dependently.\textsuperscript{64} Since GSTs and GPx play a critical role as intracelular defense mechanisms against toxic insult,\textsuperscript{65} their inhibition might be relevant to PTU-induced hepatic injury. However more investigation is needed to prove such mechanism.

As mentioned, no mechanistic evaluation is available about PTU-induced hepatotoxicity to date. Hence, more future experiments are needed to elucidate the mechanism(s) of PTU-induced liver injury to prevent the fatal hepatic damage caused by this drug. Overall, it can be concluded that the exact reactive metabolite(s) by which antithyroid drugs cause toxicity in liver is not clear completely yet. However, drug bioactivation and reactive intermediates formation seems to have a great role in antithyroid drugs-induced hepatic injury, at least for those caused by methimazole.

**Antithyroid drugs and intracellular targets**

**Mitochondria**

Different investigations mentioned the role of intracellular targets in antithyroid agents-induce cytotoxicity.\textsuperscript{34,36} Among these, is mitochondrion as a critical intracellular target for xenobiotics.\textsuperscript{56,67} Mitochondria are major potential targets for many xenobiotics-induced toxicity.\textsuperscript{63} It has been shown that some chemicals including different drugs caused mitochondrial damage in hepatocytes.\textsuperscript{5} Previous investigations revealed that antithyroid drugs such as methimazole might affect hepatocytes mitochondria as revealed by collapse in mitochondrial membrane potential ($\Delta$Ψm).\textsuperscript{68} The effects of methimazole on cellular mitochondria might be attributed to its reactive
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metabolites such as glyoxal.\textsuperscript{41, 52} Glyoxal has been shown to be a mitochondrial toxin.\textsuperscript{69} Methimazole-induced mitochondrial injury is more severe in glutathione-depleted cells.\textsuperscript{52} This indicates the critical role of glutathione in preventing the adverse effects of methimazole on intracellular targets and its consequent toxicity. Cellular mitochondria seems to be a target for PTU to induce cellular damage and toxicity.\textsuperscript{66} It has been found that shape and size of mitochondria was changed to giant mitochondria (megamitochondria) in PTU-induced hepatic injury.\textsuperscript{66} In addition it has been observed that the inner and outer membrane of mitochondria were fragmented and their matrices were lytic in PTU-induced hepatotoxicity.\textsuperscript{66} Due to the critical role of cellular mitochondria in regulating cell function, apoptosis and cell death,\textsuperscript{67} the effects of PTU on this organelle might has a role in PTU-induced hepatic injury (Figure 5).
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**Figure 5.** The proposed mechanisms for bioactivation of drugs with thiourea moiety.

- **RSH:** Thiol-containing targets (e.g. glutathione and proteins)
- **CYP450:** Cytochrome P450
- **FMO:** Flavin-dependent monoxygenase
- **MPO:** Myeloperoxidase
- **H\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2}:** Hydrogen peroxide

**Proteins**

Due to their abundance in cells, proteins are major targets of attack by xenobiotics.\textsuperscript{70} In contrast to binding of xenobiotics to intracellular targets such as DNA, the toxicological significance of protein binding is less clear. Not all protein bindings are toxicologically relevant, however when critical proteins such as different enzymes are attacked by xenobiotics, the toxicity might occur.\textsuperscript{71} Enzymes are sensitive proteins, which might be a target for xenobiotics to induce hepatotoxicity. Catalase (CAT), glutathione peroxidase (GPx), glutathione transferase (GST), and superoxide dismutase (SOD) are enzymes, which seems to be a target for antithyroid drugs to induce cellular dysfunction and toxicity.\textsuperscript{72} It has been shown that, glyoxal as a methimazole metabolite and as a reactive aldehyde produced in many biological processes,\textsuperscript{73} deactivates cellular enzymatic antioxidants.\textsuperscript{74-76} Moreover, it has been found that PTU and its sulfate conjugates inhibited glutathione transferase (GST) and glutathione peroxidase (GPx) enzymes, concentration dependently.\textsuperscript{64} Antioxidant enzymes deactivation by xenobiotics might lead to imbalance in production and deletion of reactive oxygen species (ROS) and finally oxidative stress. The production of reactive oxygen species (ROS) has been implicated in hepatotoxicity induced by many chemicals.\textsuperscript{77} The increase in cellular ROS can lead to state of oxidative stress that consequently damage cells, especially in those with weak defense mechanisms. Lipid peroxidation can be the consequences of ROS or reactive metabolites formation.\textsuperscript{78} The role of ROS formation and lipid peroxidation in methimazole-induced hepatotoxicity is investigated in different studies.\textsuperscript{36, 52, 72} It has been shown that methimazole-induced cytotoxicity was accompanied with ROS formation, lipid peroxidation, and glutathione reservoirs depletion\textsuperscript{72}, which are signs of oxidative stress in biological systems.

In conclusion, it can be stated that cellular antioxidant defense mechanisms impairment and oxidative stress induction seem to have a role in antithyroid drugs-induced hepatotoxicity, since these drugs deactivated antioxidant enzymes.\textsuperscript{64, 72} Further investigation is needed to reveal such mechanisms, especially in PTU cases.

**Role of inflammation and immune system in antithyroid drugs-induced liver injury**

A number of investigations have suggested a variety of factors, which may not linked to drug metabolism, could also affect DILI. Among these, are immunological reactions. Immunological reactions and inflammatory process have been implicated in the development of liver injury induced by many drugs.\textsuperscript{1, 79} Different investigations reported the release of autoantibodies and cytokines in antithyroid-treated patients and/or animals.\textsuperscript{80-83} Kobayashi et al. found that cytokine-mediated immune response could has a great
role in methimazole-induced hepatic injury in mice. These findings might suggest a role for immune system in mediating hepatic injury induced by antithyroid medications. Weiss et al. have reported cases of PTU-induced hepatic damage in which auto-antibodies were demonstrated. Hyashida et al. have shown lymphocyte sensitization in a patient with neonatal liver injury probably by placental transfer of PTU. All these reports are in line with the hypothesis that immune system plays a role in the pathogenesis of liver damage associated with PTU therapy.

An intriguing theory for immune-mediated DILI is the hapten hypothesis. According to this theory, the drug reactive metabolites are undergoes covalent binding with different proteins. The drug-protein complex is then recognized by immune system, consequently the activation of immune system might lead to toxicity. As mentioned, antithyroid drugs’ reactive metabolites are capable of interacting with different intracellular targets, including proteins (Figure 6). Hence, these modified proteins might act as haptens and stimulate immune system.

Figure 6. Postulated role of inflammatory cells in antithyroid drugs bioactivation and its consequent liver injury. **MMI**: Methimazole, **PTU**: Propylthiouracil, **MPO**: Myeloperoxidase, **GSH**: Glutathione, **GSSG**: Oxidized glutathione.

There is much to learn about the role and mechanism of immune-mediated DILI. Recently the models for studying hepatotoxicity has been greatly altered and new experimental tools for DILI are developed. These new strategies include drug-inflammation interaction model (Figure 6). In Drug-inflammation interaction model it is postulated that a slight, non-toxic inflammation stress will exacerbate drugs-induced liver injury. It has been postulated that inflammatory cells aggregated in liver and their inflammatory mediators, have a pivotal role in mediating liver injury (Figure 6). On the other hand, neutrophils and macrophages (kupffer cells in liver) contain myeloperoxidase (MPO) enzyme (Figure 6). Peroxidases might have a major role in drug metabolism. Hence, in addition to the role of immunological reactions and inflammatory mediators in drug-inflammation interaction model, the ability of inflammatory cells in mediating drug metabolism via MPO, might also be considered in toxic reactions of drugs in this model (Figure 6).

It has been shown that methimazole was metabolized by myeloperoxidase enzyme in an *in vitro* experiment to produce reactive metabolites and oxidized glutathione. In another study by Waldhauser et al., it has been found that PTU converted to reactive intermediates by neutrophils MPO. It has been suggested that this reaction might be attributed to the agranulocytosis associated with PTU administration.
Inflammatory cells aggregate in liver at drug-inflammation interaction model, the chance of reactive metabolites formation might increase and consequently the hepatotoxicity ensue (Figure 6). The hepatotoxicity induced by antithyroid medications could be the subject of future studies in these novel experimental models, to improve our understanding of the mechanisms of liver injury induced by these agents.

**Hepatotoxicity induced by conventional drug/chemicals with thiourea structure**

Methimazole and PTU are thiourea-containing structures (Figure 1). Moreover, N-methylthiourea is one of the suspected hepatotoxic metabolite of methimazole. This section tried to review the toxicity of thiourea-containing chemicals to get a better insight into the hepatotoxicity induced by antithyroid drugs.

Thiourea (Figure 1), is the parent compound for many drugs and industrial agents. Some antituberculosis agents, centrally acting histamine H$_3$ antagonists, and anti HIV reverse transcriptase (RT) inhibitors are among thiourea-containing drugs.

Different adverse effects toward biological systems are attributed to thiourea-based chemicals. Genotoxicity, hepatotoxicity, pulmonary toxicity and contact dermatitis are adverse events associated with thiourea-containing compounds. Derivatives of thiourea are among the early drugs identified to cause hepatic injury. Different forms of flavine-dependent monooxygenase enzymes (FMOs) believed to have a great role in mediating thiourea-containing chemicals metabolism and converting them to reactive intermediates. The thiourea metabolism is believed to occur via S-oxidation of the thionocarbonyl functional group to give reactive sulfenic acid species. In an interesting finding on thiourea-containing chemicals toxicity, it has been demonstrated that GSH depletion hastened thiocarbamates toxicity. As mentioned, it has been revealed that glutathione-depleted cells are very susceptible to methimazole. These finding might suggest a role for thiourea toxicity (N-methylthiourea as methimazole metabolite), in such conditions. However, as previously stated, the other methimazole metabolite, glyoxal, needs GSH for its detoxification.

**Figure 7.** The possible pathways for antithyroid drugs to induce cytotoxicity
The metabolites produced during thiourea-containing chemicals biotransformation are capable of reacting with protein sulfhydryls and/or GSH (Figure 7). If this adduction makes a mixed disulfide that affect protein (Enzymes) function adversely, then toxicity would ensue (Figure 7).\textsuperscript{4,5} The olfactory toxicity of drugs such as methimazole, might be attributed to its reactive metabolites produced during FMO enzymes activity in nasal epithelium.\textsuperscript{5}

The exact enzyme responsible for converting methimazole and/or PTU to reactive intermediates in liver is not clearly understood, but further investigation on the role of FMO\textsubscript{3} (as the most abundant FMO enzyme isofrom in human liver),\textsuperscript{29} in antithyroid drugs metabolism might enhance our understanding of liver injury induced by these drugs.

Although it is apparent that sulfhydryl reactivity and binding is a common event after thiourea containing drugs biotransformation, the toxicological significance of this fact is less obvious. Hence, evaluating the fate of sulfenic acid species in liver might elucidate the mechanisms of hepatotoxicity induced by thiourea-containing chemicals.

Conclusion remarks
Although, much more investigation are needed for rigorous conclusion to be drawn on the mechanisms of hepatic injury induced by antithyroid drugs, but it seems that a combination of drug reactive metabolite formation and immunological reactions are responsible for the situation. Elucidating the precise mechanisms of hepatotoxicity induced by antithyroid agents, will allow clinicians to prevent fulminant liver failure, need for liver transplantation, and death induced by these medications.

All mentioned proposed mechanisms for antithyroid drugs to induce liver injury are summarized in Figure 7.
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